



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/654,907

09/05/2003

Valerie De La Poterie

05725.1236-00

6821

22852

7590

07/28/2010

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER  
LLP

901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1619

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/28/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/654,907	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DE LA POTERIE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT	<b>Art Unit</b> 1619	

**All Participants:**

(1) JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT.

(2) DEBORAH HERZFELD.

**Date of Interview:** 14 July 2010

**Status of Application:** NAP

(3) CHRITINE HLAVKA.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*as of record*

Claims discussed:  
*as of record*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*as of record*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JYOTHSNA A VENKAT /  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner informed the attorneys that claim 114, which has the formula is allowable over the cited art and prosecution can be advanced by filing an RCE and cancelling composition claims of group I and non-elected claims and submitting terminal disclaimer over co-pending application 10/656201 and also allowed application 11/056239 and also submitting certified translation of foreign priority documents having the filing dates 9/6/02 so that co-pending applications 10/526,674 and 10/526,675 are not prior art. Examiner also informed the attorneys that restriction between groups I, III and V is withdrawn in view of claim 114 being allowable and election of species is also withdrawn and restriction is maintained between the other groups.